

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,022	10/08/2004	Wolfgang Gruner	2002P06124WOUS	8720	
7590 05/19/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation			LEE, CHRISTOPHER E		
Intellectual Proj	perty Department				
170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2112		
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/511,022	GRUNER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Christopher E. Lee	2112		
	1			

· · ·	LXammer	Aironne					
	Christopher E. Lee	2112					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	cliamae with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	no of the data of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a)  ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)		/ i ⊏ below),					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 4 and 9-12.							
Claim(s) rejected. <u>4 and 3-72</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
<ul> <li>□ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</li> </ul>							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
1. 🔲 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
		Christoph.	e- 8. Len				
		Christopher É. Lee					
		Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2112					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises a new issue "the first data link connected to the first level converter in the coupling unit via an electrical jack for communicating data between the mobile data unit and the field bus" in the claims 4 and 12, respectively, which has not been considered, and which extends the scope of the claimed invention. Therefore, it requires further consideration and/or search, and will not be entered. Furthermore, in response to the Applicants' arguments with the new issue regarding to the prior art rejetion, the request for reconsideration has not been considered because the arguments with the new issue are drawn to the limitation which has not been entered for consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: In response to the Applicants' filing of new drawing replacement sheet regarding to the drawing objection, it will be considered at the next Office Action since the response after final rejection has not been entered for consideration.